## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PAGE 01 STATE 146948 53 ORIGIN COME-00 INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-13 ADP-00 AID-20 EB-11 NSC-10 RSC-01 CIEP-02 TRSE-00 SS-15 STR-08 OMB-01 CEA-02 CIAE-00 FRB-02 INR-10 NSAE-00 LAB-06 SIL-01 L-03 FTC-01 PA-03 PRS-01 USIA-15 /151 R 66607 DRAFTED BY: COM/BIC/OTP/STA/EC/ADAUMAN APPROVED BY: EB/OCA/REP:MWDONOVAN COM/OTP/STA/RMONTGOMERY COM/OBRA/RYOUNG COM/OTP/TN/DROHR TREAS/SCANNER COM/OIFI/VTRAVAGLINI STR/JSHOWE (SUBS.) COM/OIFI/FSHAEAFFER STATE/EUR/RPE/AALBRECHT STATE/EUR/RPE/RMEIMA STATE/EB/OT/TA/JCRAWFORD STATE/EB/OT/STA/RWINSTANLEY R 261711Z JUL 73 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO USMISSION EC BRUSSELS INFO AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN AMEMBASSY DUBLIN AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG AMEMBASSY PARIS AMEMBASSY ROME USMISSION GENEVA USMISSION OECD PARIS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 146948 E.O. 11652: N/A LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PAGE 02 STATE 146948

TAGS: EIND EEC ETEL

## FROM COMMERCE

SUBJ: EC COMMISSION DRAFT MEMORANDUM ON COMPUTER POLICY REF: (A) EC BRUSSELS A-148, (B) EC BRUSSELS 3643

- 1. WE APPRECIATE MISSION'S TIMELY REPORTING ON DRAFT EC COMPUTER POLICY, TONE AND IMPORTANT FEATURES OF WHICH APPEAR OBJECTIONABLE IN PRINCIPLE AND POTENTIALLY DETRIMENTAL TO U.S. INTERESTS. PRESENT PROPOSAL IS BLUEPRINT FOR COORDINATED EFFORT TO INCREASE COMPETITIVE CAPABILITIES OF EUROPEAN FIRMS SO AS TO SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE THEIR SHARE OF EC MARKET. METHODS PROPOSED, INCLUDING CARTELIZATION OF EC COMPUTER INDUSTRY, DISCRIMINATION IN GOV-ERNMENT PROCUREMENT PRACTICES, DEVELOPMENT OF EXCLUSIVE EC STANDARDS AND SUBSIDIZATION OF LARGE, DIVERSIFIED EC CORPORA-TIONS FLY IN THE FACE OF TRADE AND INVESTMENT LIBERALIZATION POLICIES WHICH HAVE FOR YEARS BEEN OUR GOAL IN U.S.-EUROPEAN ECONOMIC RELATIONS. PROPOSED LIMITATIONS OF U.S. FIRMS TO MINORITY POSITIONS IN U.S./EUROPEAN JOINT VENTURES ALSO SEEMS TO BE IN DIRECT CONFLICT WITH ARTICLE 58 OF THE ROME TREATY, WHICH PROVIDES FOR EQUAL TREATMENT FOR ALL COMPANIES INCOR-PORATED IN AN EC MEMBER STATE. IRRESPECTIVE OF THE NATIONALITY OF THEIR SHAREHOLDERS.
- 2. ALTHOUGH SIMILAR POLICIES HAVE BEEN ACTIVELY PURSUED IN VARYING SCOPE AND DEGREE BY FRANCE, GERMANY AND THE U.K., ADOPTION OF SUCH POLICIES ON EC-WIDE BASIS WOULD RESULT IN EXPANSION OF NON-TARIFF BARRIERS TO U.S. EXPORTS AS WELL AS UNJUSTIFIABLE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST U.S. COMPANIES PRODUCING COMPUTERS IN EUROPE. WE ALSO CONCERNED THAT DRAFT COMPUTER PLAN MAY SERVE AS PATTERN FOR POLICIES IN OTHER QUOTE PROBLEM SECTORS UNQUOTE, SUCH AS AIRCRAFT AND HEAVY MACHINERY, REFERRED TO IN RECENT EC MEMORANDUM ON INDUSTRIAL POLICY.
- 3. WHILE WE RESERVE FINAL JUDGMENT PENDING DEVELOPMENT BY THE COMMISSION OF A DEFINITE PROPOSAL FOR COUNCIL ACTION, GENERALLY FAVORABLE REACTION OF MEMBER COUNTRIES TO DRAFT MEMORANDUM SEEMS TO INDICATE THAT FINAL PROPOSALS MAY NOT BE SUBSTANTIALLY MORE LIBERAL THAN CURRENT DRAFT. CONSEQUENTLY, AN EFFORT WHOULD BE MADE NOW TO INITIATE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE COMMISSION, WITH OBJECTIVE OF INFLUENCING THE OUTCOME OF POLICY FORMULATION IN COMPUTER SECTOR
- 4. ACTION REQUESTED: USEC BRUSSELS--MISSION IS REQUESTED TO EXPRESS TO APPROPRIATE COMMISSION OFFICIALS U.S. CONCERN ABOUT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 146948

EMERGING EC COMPUTER POLICY. IF MISSION WISHES TO AVOID DISCLOSING OUR POSSESSION OF DRAFT, IT MAY EXPLAIN ITS APPROACH BY CITING SPATE OF RECENT PRESS ARTICLES CONCERNING EC COMPUTER POLICY, E.G., EUROPE BULLETIN, MARCH 3, 1973, AND MAY 30; L'EXPRESS, JUNE 11-17; LE MONDE, JUNE 9; TIME, JULY 2; AND ELECTRONIC NEWS, JULY 2. IF THIS OPTION IS FOLLOWED, THE MISSION SHOULD CONSIDER COMMENTS IN PARAGRAPHS 1 THROUGH 3 ABOVE AS BACKGROUND, AND BASE DISCUSSIONS WITH EC OFFICIALS ESSENTIALLY

## ON THE FOLLOWING POINTS.

5. GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT--USG IS CONCERNED THAT EC APPARENTLY ENVISAGES USE OF GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT AS A POLICY TOOL TO REDUCE SHARE OF EUROPEAN MARKETS HELD BY U.S. COMPANIES. SUCH POLICY WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR TRADE AND INVESTMENT RELA-TIONSHIPS WITH EC AT A TIME WHEN TRADING NATIONS ARE COMMITTED TO MUTUAL LIBERALIZATION OF TRADE AND INVESTMENT. IF EC PLANS TO EXTEND RESTRICTIVE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICIES PRACTICED BY SOME MEMBER COUNTRIES TO COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE, THE U.S. WOULD CONSIDER THIS A NEW RESTRICTION ON U.S. TRADE. AS EC KNOWS. U.S. STRONGLY BELIEVES IN DESIRABILITY OF DEVELOPING A CODE ON GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT WITHIN OECD IN ORDER TO ASSURE THAT INTERNATIONAL TRADE PRACTICES IN THIS FIELD ARE FAIR TO ALL. 6. SUBSIDIES--SUBSIDIZATION OF FIRMS COOPERATING IN PROPOSED COMPUTER POLICY WOULD COME UNDER ARTICLE XVI OF THE GATT. ESPECIALLY PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 1 CONCERNING NOTIFICATION AND CONSULTATIONS WITH A VIEW TO LIMITING SUBSIDIES WHICH OPERATE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY TO INCREASE EXPORTS OR TO REDUCE IMPORTS. IN ADDITION, SUBSIDIES AS WELL AS OTHER FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED COMPUTER POLICY WOULD IMPAIR GATT CONCESSIONS OBTAINED BY U.S. IN PAST TARIFF NEGOTIATIONS

- 7. MTN--PROPOSED COMPUTER POLICY SEEMS INCONSISTENT WITH EC'S DECLARED INTENT TO REDUCE TRADE BARRIERS THROUGH MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS.
- 8. MISSION SHOULD POINT OUT THAT THE U.S. VIEWS ARE PRELIMINARY; THAT WE EXPECT TO KEEP MATTER UNDER REVIEW AND TO DISCUSS COMPUTER POLICY FURTHER WITH THE COMMISSION AS DEVELOPMENTS REQUIRE. WE HOPE EC WILL TAKE OUR VIEWS INTO CONSIDERATION IN FORMULATING COMPUTER POLICY. WE EXPECT THAT COMMISSION WILL KEEP US INFORMED OF ITS PLANS IN THIS AREA.
- 9. USEC BRUSSELS AND INFO POSTS: WE WOULD APPRECIATE RECEIVING CONTINUED REPORTING ON DEVEOLPMENTS AS THEY OCCUR. REPORTING SHOULD INCLUDE INDUSTRY AND USER REACTIONS TO PROPOSED POLICY. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 146948

OF PARTICULAR IMPORTANCE IS ANY INFORMATION POSTS CAN REPORT AS TO OFFICIAL MEMBER GOVERNMENT POLICIES TOWARD THE DRAFT AS CURRENTLY CONSTRUCTED. SPECIFICALLY, DOES APPARENTLY POSITIVE MEMBER GOVERNMENTS REACTION TO DRAFT REFLECT POLICY LEVEL GOVERNMENT VIEWPOINT OR UNOFFICIAL VIEWS OF WORKING LEVEL INDUSTRY AND GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL SPECIALISTS? ROGERS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: FROM COMMERCE Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 26 JUL 1973

Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE146948

Document Number: 1973STATE146948
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: COM/BIC/OTP/STA/EC/ADAUMAN

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a

From: SECSTATE WASHDC Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973079/aaaaagag.tel Line Count: 160 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ORIGIN COME

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 EC BRUSSELS A-148, 73 EC BRUSSELS 3643
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: garlanwa

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 31 JUL 2001

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <31-Jul-2001 by shawdg>; APPROVED <12-Sep-2001 by garlanwa>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: NATIVE

Subject: EC COMMISSION DRAFT MEMORANDUM ON COMPUTER POLICY TAGS: EIND, ETEL, EEC

To: EC BRUSSELS BONN BRUSSELS COPENHAGEN DUBLIN THE HAGUE LONDON LUXEMBOURG PARIS ROME

Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005